



AALAS Brewer Award Submission Packet Checklist

Please complete this checklist and submit it along with all of the required supporting materials. Information on this sheet must be supported by attached materials.

Nominee _____ Primary Nominator _____

Award Criteria

Brewer Scientific Achievement award candidates are evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Research relevant to laboratory animal science and comparative medicine that advances current knowledge and contributes to the existing literature in those fields and is directly applicable to a variety of research settings.
- Research contributes to the enhancement of local/national AALAS meetings, AALAS publications, and the scientific community. The candidate has presented research information in a variety of formats (posters, platform sessions, seminars, round tables) and frequently publishes scientific findings in CM, CT, and other high-quality scientific journals applicable to the area of expertise. The lab has generated enough quality information to enable other lab personnel to present research information.

Nominee Background

Provide a concise overview (one or two sentences) of the nominee's research activities/ accomplishments and how they are relevant to the fields of laboratory animal science or comparative medicine in support of a variety of research endeavors.

Provide a concise overview (one or two sentences) of the demonstrated or potential positive impact of the information gleaned from the nominee's research, which can be basic or applied, on current knowledge. The overview should address to what degree this new information advances the current knowledge of laboratory animal science/medicine and comparative medicine, and how/why.

Supporting Materials

In addition to the nomination form (www.aalas.org/association/award_nomination_form.aspx), you'll need to submit the following supporting materials:

- curriculum vitae or resume
- nomination letter from an AALAS national member
- 3–5 letters of recognition by the nominee's peers and coworkers
- this checklist

Supporting materials will be evaluated for evidence of the nominee's research contributions as well as considerations not otherwise addressed in the nomination materials, such as the nominee's accomplishments, qualifications, professional integrity, reputation, and character.

Posters, seminars, and panel discussions are common ways for nominees to disseminate information to the AALAS community. Consideration is also given for presentations made possible by the nominee's research contributions. Publications will be assessed in terms of the variety of scientific journals represented and the overall quality of those publications (sound methods, complete reporting of results, thorough discussion).

Use this checklist to verify that all required information is documented in the supporting materials.

- Research contributions are directly applicable to laboratory animal science/ comparative medicine.
- Research contributions expand existing scientific literature.
- Research has made, or has the potential to make, a positive impact on the current body of knowledge in lab animal science/ comparative medicine.
- Research results been actively shared with the AALAS community via district/branch/ national AALAS meetings and/or AALAS publications (CM and JAALAS) as well as with scientific publications and peer-reviewed journals not produced by AALAS.